arXiv:math/0403087v2 [math.RT] 18 Apr 2005

Is tame open?*

Yang Han

Institute of Systems Science, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, P.R.China.
E-mail: hany@iss.ac.cn

Dedicated to Professor Claus Michael Ringel on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract

Is tame open? No answer so far. One may pose the Tame-Open Conjecture: Tame is open. But how to support it? No effective way to date. In this note, the rank of a wild algebra is introduced. The Wild-Rank Conjecture, which implies the Tame-Open Conjecture, is formulated. The Wild-Rank Conjecture is improved to the Basic-Wild-Rank Conjecture. A covering criterion on the rank of a basic wild algebra is given, which can be effectively applied to verify the Basic-Wild-Rank Conjecture for concrete algebras. It makes all conjectures much reliable.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16G60, 16G10, 16G20

Throughout k denotes a fixed algebraically closed field. By an algebra we mean a finite-dimensional associative k-algebra with identity. By a module we mean a left module of finite k-dimension except in the context of covering theory. We denote by mod$A$ the category of finite-dimensional left A-modules. For terminology in the representation theory of algebras we refer to [2] and [31].

1. Tame-Open Conjecture

*Project 10201004 supported by NSFC.

For $d \in \mathbb{N}_1 := \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$, $\mathcal{A}_d$ denotes the affine variety of associative algebra structures with identity on k^d (cf. [11; §2.1]). The linear group $GL_d(k)$ operates on $\mathcal{A}_d$ by transport of structure (cf. [11; §2.2]). One remarkable result in the geometry of representations is *finite representation type is open*, i.e., all d-dimensional k-algebras of finite representation type form an open subset of $\mathcal{A}_d$ (cf. [11, 24, 13]). Inspired by this, Geiss asked whether tame is open (cf. [13, 14])? Of course one may pose a conjecture as follows:

Tame-Open Conjecture. *For any $d \in \mathbb{N}_1$, all tame algebras in $\mathcal{A}_d$ form an open subset of $\mathcal{A}_d$.*

How to support the Tame-Open Conjecture? An obvious way is to verify it for each dimension d. In the cases of $1 \leq d \leq 3$, $\mathcal{A}_d = \{$all d-dimensional tame algebras$\}$. Thus Tame-Open Conjecture holds for $1 \leq d \leq 3$. In the case of $d = 4$, one can easily determine the representation type of all 4-dimensional algebras listed in [11; §5]. Apply the upper semi-continuity of the function $A \mapsto \dim_k \mathrm{Aut}(A) = \dim_k \mathrm{End}(A)$ (cf. [24; Proposition 6.3]), one can show that Tame-Open Conjecture holds for $d = 4$ as well. However, for $d \geq 5$, even for $d = 5$ only, the problem becomes too complicated to be dealt with (cf. [18; 28]). Thus it seems that it is difficult to go further along this way.

Note that the Tame-Open Conjecture was also studied by Kasjan from the viewpoint of model theory. He proved that the class of tame algebras is axiomatizable, and finite axiomatizability of this class is equivalent to the Tame-Open Conjecture (cf. [20]). Nevertheless, it seems that this cannot support Tame-Open Conjecture.

2. Wild-Rank Conjecture.

A finite dimensional k-algebra A is called *wild* if there is a finitely generated A-$k\langle x, y\rangle$-bimodule M which is free as a right $k\langle x, y\rangle$-module and such that the functor $M \otimes_{k\langle x,y\rangle} -$ from mod$k\langle x, y\rangle$ to mod$A$ preserves indecomposability and isomorphism classes (cf. [6]). We say that A is *strictly wild* if in addition the functor $M \bigotimes_{k\langle x,y\rangle} -$ is full. In a natural way, we can define the wildness or strictly wildness for a full subcategory of the module category over an algebra. If the algebra A is wild then we denote by r_A the number $\min\{\mathrm{rank}_{k\langle x,y\rangle} M | M$ is a finitely generated A-$k\langle x, y\rangle$-bimodule which is free as a right $k\langle x, y\rangle$-module and such that the functor $M \otimes_{k\langle x,y\rangle} -$ from mod$k\langle x, y\rangle$ to mod$A$ preserves indecomposability and isomorphism classes$\}$. By [5; Corollary 2.4.3], $k\langle x, y\rangle$ is a free ideal ring. By [5; Corollary 1.1.2], $k\langle x, y\rangle$ is an IBN ring. Thus the rank of a free $k\langle x, y\rangle$-module is unique. Hence r_A is well-defined and called the *rank* of the wild algebra A. Similarly

we may define the rank $r_{\mathcal{C}}$ of a wild subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of mod$A$. Obviously $r_A \leq r_{\mathcal{C}}$.

In this paper, we do not distinguish the d-dimensional algebras from the points in $\mathcal{A}_d$. Put $\mathcal{T}_d := \{A \in \mathcal{A}_d | A \text{ tame}\}$ and $\mathcal{W}_d := \{A \in \mathcal{A}_d | A \text{ wild}\}$,

Wild-Rank Conjecture. There is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_A \leq f(d)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{W}_d$.

Remark 1. In some sense, the Wild-Rank Conjecture is an analogue of the numerical criterion of finite representation type (cf. [3; Theorem]).

If an algebraic group G acts on a variety X then the *number of parameters* of G on X is $\dim_G X := \max\{\dim X_{(s)} - s | s \geq 0\}$ where $X_{(s)}$ is the union of the orbits of dimension s (cf. [19; p.71] or [25; p.125] or [7; p.399]). If A is a finite dimensional k-algebra then the set $\mathrm{mod}(A, n)$ of the n-dimensional representations of A is the closed subset of $\mathrm{Hom}_k(A, M(n, k))$ consisting of all k-algebra homomorphisms from A to the algebra $M(n, k)$ of $n \times n$ matrices. There is a natural conjugation action of $GL_n(k)$ on $\mathrm{mod}(A, n)$. Put $\mathcal{A}_{d,\leq n} := \{A \in \mathcal{A}_d | \dim_{GL_n(k)} \mathrm{mod}(A, n) \leq n\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{d,>n} := \{A \in \mathcal{A}_d | \dim_{GL_n(k)} \mathrm{mod}(A, n) > n\}$.

Lemma 1. ([13; Proposition 1], [7; Proof of Theorem B]) *$\mathcal{A}_{d,\leq n}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{A}_d$ and $\mathcal{A}_{d,>n}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{A}_d$ for all d and n.*

Put $\mathcal{A}_d^{\leq n} := \cap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_{d,\leq i}$ and $\mathcal{A}_d^{>n} := \cup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_{d,>i}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_d^{\leq 1} \supseteq \mathcal{A}_d^{\leq 2} \supseteq \cdots$ and $\mathcal{A}_d^{>1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_d^{>2} \subseteq \cdots$. By Lemma 1, $\mathcal{A}_d^{\leq n}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{A}_d$ and $\mathcal{A}_d^{>n}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{A}_d$ for all d and n.

Lemma 2. ([9; Proposition 2], [13; Proposition 2], [7; Lemma 3]) *$\mathcal{T}_d = \cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}_1} \mathcal{A}_{d,\leq i} = \cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}_1} \mathcal{A}_d^{\leq i}$ and $\mathcal{W}_d = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_1} \mathcal{A}_{d,>i} = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_1} \mathcal{A}_d^{>i}$.*

Theorem 1. *The Wild-Rank Conjecture implies the Tame-Open Conjecture.*

Proof. If the Wild-Rank Conjecture holds then there is a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_A \leq f(d)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{W}_d$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Let $A \in \mathcal{W}_d$. Then there is a finitely generated A-$k\langle x, y\rangle$-bimodule M which is free of rank r_A over $k\langle x, y\rangle$ such that the functor $M \otimes_{k\langle x,y\rangle} -$ from mod$k\langle x, y\rangle$ to mod$A$ preserves indecomposability and isomorphism classes. Note that $\phi := M \otimes_{k\langle x,y\rangle} - : \mathrm{mod}(k\langle x, y\rangle, t) \to \mathrm{mod}(A, r_A t)$ is a regular map (cf. [8; p.67]). Consider the stratifications $\mathrm{mod}(k\langle x, y\rangle, t) = \cup_i \mathrm{mod}(k\langle x, y\rangle, t)_{(i)}$ and $\mathrm{mod}(A, r_A t) = \cup_j \mathrm{mod}(A, r_A t)_{(j)}$. Since $\mathrm{mod}(k\langle x, y\rangle, t)$ is irreducible and $\mathrm{mod}(k\langle x, y\rangle, t) = \cup_{i,j}(\mathrm{mod}(k\langle x, y\rangle, t)_{(i)} \cap \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{mod}(A, r_A t)_{(j)}))$, there are i and j such that the constructible subset $X := \mathrm{mod}(k\langle x, y\rangle, t)_{(i)} \cap \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{mod}(A, r_A t)_{(j)})$ is irreducible and dense in $\mathrm{mod}(k\langle x, y\rangle, t)$. Thus $\phi(X)$

is an irreducible and constructible subset of $\mathrm{mod}(A, r_A t)_{(j)}$. Consider the restriction of ϕ on X and $\phi(X)$. By [29; §I.8 Theorem 3], $\dim\phi(X) - \dim X = \dim\phi^{-1}(y)$ for some $y \in \phi(X)$. Take any $x \in \phi^{-1}(y)$. Since the inverse image of an orbit under ϕ is an orbit, ϕ induces a regular map ψ from the orbit $GL_t(k)\cdot x$ to the orbit $GL_{r_A t}(k)\cdot y$. Apply [29; §I.8 Theorem 3] again, we have $\dim\phi^{-1}(y) = \dim\psi^{-1}(y) = \dim GL_{r_A t}(k)\cdot y - \dim GL_t(k)\cdot x = j - i$. Therefore $\dim_{GL_{r_A t}(k)}\mathrm{mod}(A, r_A t) \geq \mathrm{dimmod}(A, r_A t)_{(j)} - j \geq \dim\phi(X) - j = \dim X + (j-i) - j = \mathrm{dimmod}(k\langle x, y\rangle, t) - i > \mathrm{dimmod}(k\langle x, y\rangle, t) - \dim GL_t(k) = 2t^2 - t^2 = t^2$ for all t. In particular, take $t = r_A$ then $\dim_{GL_{r_A^2}(k)}\mathrm{mod}(A, r_A^2) > r_A^2$. This implies that for any $A \in \mathcal{W}_d$, $A \in \mathcal{A}_{d,>r_A^2} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_d^{>r_A^2} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_d^{>f^2(d)}$. By Lemma 2, $\mathcal{W}_d = \mathcal{A}_d^{>f^2(d)}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{A}_d$. □

3. Morita equivalence

Now we study the changes of the rank of a wild algebra under Morita equivalence and factor algebra. The following result implies that for the proof of the Wild-Rank Conjecture it suffices to show it for all basic algebras.

Theorem 2. *If a d-dimensional wild algebra A is Morita eqivalent to a basic algebra B then $r_A \leq d \cdot r_B$.*

Proof. Suppose $A = \oplus_{i=1}^m n_i P_i$ with $n_i \geq 1$ and $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, being the nonisomorphic indecomposable projective A-modules. Let $P = \oplus_{i=1}^m P_i$. Then $B \cong \mathrm{End}_A(P)^{op}$. Consider the evaluation functor $e_P = \mathrm{Hom}_A(P, -) : \mathrm{mod}A \to \mathrm{mod}B$. Note that e_P is an equivalence of categories with quasi-inverse $P \otimes_B -$ (cf. [2; Corollary II.2.6.] and [1; Theorem 22.2]). Since B is wild, there is a B-$k\langle x, y\rangle$-bimodule M which is free of rank r_B over $k\langle x, y\rangle$ such that the functor $M \otimes_{k\langle x,y\rangle} -$ from $\mathrm{mod}k\langle x, y\rangle$ to $\mathrm{mod}B$ preserves indecomposability and isomorphism classes. Note that P is also projective over B. Decompose P as the direct sum of the indecomposable projective right B-modules, set $P = \oplus_{i=1}^t Q_i$. For Q_i there is a projective right B-module Q_i' such that $Q_i \oplus Q_i' = B$. Thus there is a projective right B-module P' such that $P \oplus P' = B^t$. Further $(P \otimes_B M) \oplus (P' \otimes_B M) = B^t \otimes_B M$ which is free of rank $t \cdot r_B \leq \dim_k P \cdot r_B \leq \dim_k A \cdot r_B = d \cdot r_B$. Since $P \otimes_B M$ is finitely generated projective over $k\langle x, y\rangle$, by [5; Theorem 1.4.1], it is free over $k\langle x, y\rangle$. Moreover, its rank is at most $d \cdot r_B$. Consider the composition $P \otimes_B M \otimes_{k\langle x,y\rangle} -$, we have $r_A \leq d \cdot r_B$. □

From now on, unless stated otherwise, *we assume that all algebras are basic.* Thus any algebra A can be written as kQ/I where Q is the Gabriel quiver of A and I is an admissible ideal of the path algebra kQ. For a quiver

Q we denote by Q_0 (resp. Q_1) the set of vertices (resp. arrows) of Q. The next result implies that for the proof of the Wild-Rank Conjecture it suffices to show it for all minimal wild algebras. Here *minimal wild* means no proper factor algebra is wild.

Lemma 3. *If I is an ideal of an algebra A and A/I is wild then $r_A \leq r_{A/I}$.*

Proof. If M is a finitely generated A/I-$k\langle x,y\rangle$-bimodule which is free of rank $r_{A/I}$ over $k\langle x,y\rangle$ such that the functor $M\otimes_{k\langle x,y\rangle} -$ from mod$k\langle x,y\rangle$ to modA/I preserves indecomposability and isomorphism classes, then M is also a finitely generated A-$k\langle x,y\rangle$-bimodule which is free of rank $r_{A/I}$ over $k\langle x,y\rangle$ such that the functor $M\otimes_{k\langle x,y\rangle} -$ from mod$k\langle x,y\rangle$ to mod$A$ preserves indecomposability and isomorphism classes. □

4. Covering criterion

In this section, we shall provide a covering criterion which can be effectively applied to provide an anticipated upper bound for the rank of a concrete wild algebra. For the knowledge of Galois covering theory we refer to [4, 12, 27].

A *minimal wild concealed algebra* means a concealed algebra of a minimal wild hereditary algebra. Unless stated otherwise, the *minimal* in *minimal wild hereditary algebra* or *minimal wild concealed algebra* is always in the sense of [21]. First of all, we provide upper bounds for the ranks of some strictly wild subcategories in the module categories over minimal wild concealed algebras.

Lemma 4. *The ranks of all minimal wild hereditary algebras are bounded by a fixed number.*

Proof. Note that the underlying diagrams of the quivers of all minimal wild hereditary algebras are listed in [21; p.443]. Denote by $|Q|$ the underlying diagram of the quiver Q. Then there are at most $2^{|Q_1|}$ quivers with underlying diagram $|Q|$. Thus (up to isomorphism) there are finitely many minimal wild hereditary algebras. □

Let $A = kQ/I$. For an A-module M we define its *support* Supp(M) to be the subset of Q_0 consisting of those $x \in Q_0$ satisfying $M(x) \neq 0$. An A-module M is called *sincere* if Supp$(M) = Q_0$.

Lemma 5. *The ranks of all minimal wild concealed algebras are bounded by a fixed number.*

Proof. It is enough to show that (up to isomorphism) there are only finitely many minimal wild concealed algebras. This is clear by [32; 33]. Here

we give some details. Let A be a minimal wild concealed algebra of type H. Let $T = \oplus_{i=1}^{n} T_i$ be a preprojective tilting H-module such that $A = \mathrm{End}_H(T)$. Then $T_i = \tau^{-m_i} P_i$ for some indecomposable projective H-module P_i and some nonnegative integer m_i. Here τ denotes Auslander-Reiten translation. Thus $T = \tau^{-min\{m_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}} T_1$ with $T_1 = P \oplus \tau^{-1} T_2$, where P is a projective H-module and $\tau^{-1} T_2$ has no projective direct summand. By [31; p.76, (6)]) we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1_H(T_1, T_1) = 0$. Thus T_1 is still a preprojective tilting H-module. By [2; Proposition 1.9 (b)] we have $\mathrm{End}_H(T_1) = \mathrm{End}_H(T) = A$. Let $P = He$ and $H' = H/\langle e \rangle$ where $\langle e \rangle$ is the two-sided ideal of H generated by e. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_H(P, T_2) = \mathrm{Hom}_H(P, \tau\tau^{-1} T_2) = D\mathrm{Ext}^1_H(\tau^{-1} T_2, P) = 0$. Thus T_2 is an H'-module. In particular T_2 is a non-sincere preprojective H-module. Since there are only finitely many non-sincere indecomposable preprojective H-modules (cf. [23; Corollary 3.9]), there are only finitely many square-free preprojective tilting H-modules with projective summands. Therefore there are only finitely many minimal wild concealed algebras of type H. By the proof of Lemma 4 the number of minimal wild hereditary algebras is finite, so is the number of minimal wild concealed algebras. □

Denote by $(\mathrm{mod}A)_s$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{mod}A$ consisting of all A-modules whose indecomposable direct summands are all sincere. Note that this notation is different from that in [10, 16].

Lemma 6. *If $A = kQ/I$ is a strictly wild algebra and $A/\langle e_i \rangle$ is not strictly wild for any primitive idempotent corresponding to the vertex i in Q_0, then $(\mathrm{mod}A)_s$ is strictly wild.*

Proof. The proof is almost the same as that of [16; Lemma (3.1)]. Denote by $\mathbb{K}_3$ the quiver with two vertices $1, 2$ and tree arrows α, β, γ. First of all, there is a fully faithful exact functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathrm{mod}k\mathbb{K}_3 \longrightarrow \mathrm{mod}k\langle x, y \rangle$, which is defined by sending $(V_1, V_2; \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ to $((V_1 \oplus V_2)^7; \begin{bmatrix} 0&1&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0&0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 1&0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ \sigma&1&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&\delta&1&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&\alpha'&1&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&\beta'&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&\gamma'&1&0 \end{bmatrix})$ where the entries of two matrices all are 2×2 matrices and $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1&0 \\ 0&0 \end{bmatrix}, \delta = \begin{bmatrix} 0&0 \\ 0&1 \end{bmatrix}, \alpha' = \begin{bmatrix} 0&0 \\ \alpha&0 \end{bmatrix}, \beta' = \begin{bmatrix} 0&0 \\ \beta&0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\gamma' = \begin{bmatrix} 0&0 \\ \gamma&0 \end{bmatrix}$. Moreover, there is also a fully faithful exact functor $\mathcal{G} : \mathrm{mod}k\langle x, y \rangle \longrightarrow \mathrm{mod}k\mathbb{K}_3$ which is defined by sending $(V; x, y)$ to $(V, V; 1, x, y)$. Since A is strictly wild, there exists a fully faithful exact functor $\mathcal{H} : \mathrm{mod}k\mathbb{K}_3 \longrightarrow \mathrm{mod}A$. By assumption, we know that $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{H}(S_1)) \cup \mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{H}(S_2)) = Q_0$, where S_i is the simple $k\mathbb{K}_3$-module corresponding to vertex i. It is easy to see that both $\mathcal{GF}(S_1)$ and $\mathcal{GF}(S_2)$ are sincere $k\mathbb{K}_3$-modules, i.e. for each i, $\mathcal{GF}(S_i)$ is an extension of ${S_1}^{m_i}$ by ${S_2}^{n_i}$ for some positive integers m_i and n_i. Hence $\mathcal{HGF}(S_1)$ and $\mathcal{HGF}(S_2)$ are sin-

cere A-modules. Since the functor $\mathcal{HGF}$ is fully faithful exact, it preserves indecomposability. Hence each indecomposable direct summand of each A-module in $\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{HGF}$ is an image of a module in $\mathrm{mod}k\mathbb{K}_3$. Thus all A-modules in $\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{HGF}$ are contained in $(\mathrm{mod}A)_s$. Finally $\mathcal{HGFG}$ defines a strictly wild functor from $\mathrm{mod}k\langle x,y\rangle$ to $(\mathrm{mod}A)_s$. □

The constant b in the next lemma is very important, and it will appear frequently.

Lemma 7. *The ranks of* $(\mathrm{mod}A)_s$ *where* A *runs through all minimal wild concealed algebras are bounded by a fixed number. Suppose* b *is the smallest bound.*

Remark 2. It should be interesting to evaluate the number b.

Proof. It follows from [22; Corollary 2.2] that $\mathrm{mod}A$ is strictly wild. It is well-known that the minimal wild concealed algebras are minimal wild in the sense of [21] (cf. [33; p.146]). By Lemma 6, we know $(\mathrm{mod}A)_s$ is strictly wild as well. By the proof of Lemma 5, we know there are only finitely many minimal wild concealed algebras. □

A quiver with relations (Q,I) is called a *factor quiver* of a quiver with relations (Q',I') if Q_0 is a subset of Q'_0, Q_1 is a subset of the subset of Q'_1 obtained from Q'_1 by excluding all the arrows starting or ending at some vertex in $Q'_0\backslash Q_0$, and I is the admissible ideal of kQ obtained from I' by replacing each arrow in $Q'_1\backslash Q_1$ in each element of I' by zero (cf. [16]). Note that in this case kQ/I is a factor algebra of kQ'/I'. A Galois covering of quiver with relation $\pi:(Q',I')\to(Q,I)$ is said to be *wild concealed* if there is a finite factor quiver $(\tilde{Q},\tilde{I})$ of (Q',I') such that $k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$ is a minimal wild concealed algebra. The following result including its proof is a modification of [10; Proposition I.10.6].

Lemma 8. *Let* $\pi:(Q',I')\to(Q,I)$ *be a Galois covering of quiver with relations with torsion-free Galois group* G *and* $(\tilde{Q},\tilde{I})$ *a finite factor quiver of* (Q',I'). *Then*

(1) The restriction $F_\lambda:(\mathrm{mod}k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I})_s\to\mathrm{mod}kQ/I$ *preserves indecomposability and isomorphism classes.*

(2) There is a finitely generated kQ/I-$k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$*-bimodule* M *which is free of rank* $|\tilde{Q}_0|$ *over* $k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$ *such that on* $(\mathrm{mod}k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I})_s$, $F_\lambda\cong M\otimes_{k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}}-$.

Proof. (1) F_λ preserves indecomposability: Suppose N is an indecomposable in $(\mathrm{mod}k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I})_s$. Then we consider N as a kQ'/I'-module. By [12; Lemma 3.5], it suffices to show that ${}^gN\ncong N$ for $1\neq g\in G$. If $1\neq g$ then, since G is torsion-free, $({}^g\tilde{Q})_0\neq\tilde{Q}_0$. Hence $\mathrm{Supp}({}^gN)\neq\mathrm{Supp}(N)$. Thus ${}^gN\ncong N$.

F_λ preserves isomorphism classes: Let $F_\lambda(N_1) \cong F_\lambda(N_2)$. Let $N_j = \oplus_{i=1}^{n_j} N_{ji}$ be the direct sum decomposition of $N_j \in (\mathrm{mod}k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I})_s$, $j = 1, 2$, into indecomposables. Then, by the paragraph above and Krull-Schmidt theorem, we have $n_1 = n_2$ and $F_\lambda(N_{1i}) \cong F_\lambda(N_{2t_i}), 1 \leq t_i \leq n_1, i = 1, ..., n_1$. Considering $N_{ji}, j = 1, 2, i = 1, ..., n_1$ as kQ'/I'-module. By [12; Lemma 3.5], we have $N_{1i} \cong^{g_i} N_{2t_i}$ for some $g_i \in G$ and $i = 1, ..., n_1$. Thus $\tilde{Q}_0 = \mathrm{Supp}(N_{1i}) = \mathrm{Supp}({}^{g_i}N_{2t_i}) = {}^{g_i}\tilde{Q}_0$. Since G is torsion-free, we have $g_i = 1$ and $N_{1i} \cong N_{1t_i}, i = 1, ..., n_1$. Hence $N_1 \cong N_2$.

(2) The kQ/I-$k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$-bimodule M: Define M to be the free $k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$-module $\oplus_{i\in\tilde{Q}_0} b_i(k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I})$ with free basis $\{b_i | i \in \tilde{Q}_0\}$. We define a left kQ/I-module structure on M as follows: Let $i \in Q_0$, $s \in \tilde{Q}_0$ and $\sigma \in k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$. We denote by e_s the idempotent of $k\tilde{Q}$ corresponding to s, and we set $e_i(b_s\sigma) = \begin{cases} b_s(e_s\sigma) & \text{if } \pi(s) = i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Suppose $\alpha : i \to j$ is an arrow in Q. If $s \in \tilde{Q}_0$ with $\pi(s) = i$ and $\tilde{\alpha} : s \to t$ is an arrow in $\tilde{Q}$ with $\pi(s) = i$ and $\pi(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$ then we define $\alpha(b_s\sigma) = b_t(\tilde{\alpha}\sigma)$, and set $\alpha(b_s\sigma) = 0$ otherwise. We claim that this is a kQ/I-module action: Suppose $\rho \in I$. Note that every relation is the sum of minimal and zero relations (cf. [27]). For the proof of $\rho(b_s\sigma) = 0$ for $\sigma \in k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$ it suffices to show it for minimal or zero relation $\rho \in I$. We assume $\rho \in e_j(kQ)e_i$ for $i, j \in Q_0$. If there is no $s \in \tilde{Q}_0$ such that $\pi(s) = i$ then we have $\rho(b_s\sigma) = 0$. If there is $s \in \tilde{Q}_0$ such that $\pi(s) = i$ then there is $\rho' \in I' \cap e_t(kQ')e_s$ such that $\pi(\rho') = \rho$. By replacing each arrow in $Q_1' \backslash \tilde{Q}_1$ by zero we obtain $\tilde{\rho} \in \tilde{I} \cap e_t(k\tilde{Q})e_s$ from ρ'. Clearly $\rho(b_s\sigma) = b_t(\tilde{\rho}\sigma) = 0$.

Now let $N \in \mathrm{mod}k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$, we will show that $F_\lambda(N) = M \otimes_{k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}} N$ canonically. Since for any arrow $\tilde{\alpha} \in \tilde{Q}$ we have that $(b_s\tilde{\alpha}) \otimes N = b_s \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}N) \subseteq b_s \otimes N$, the module $M \otimes_{k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}} N$ has underlying space $\oplus_{s\in\tilde{Q}_0}(b_s \otimes N)$. Let $i \in Q_0$. If $\pi(s) \neq i$ then $e_i(b_s \otimes N) = 0$. If $\pi(s) = i$ then $e_i(b_s \otimes N) = (b_s e_s) \otimes N = b_s \otimes e_s N = b_s \otimes N(s)$. So we may identify $e_i(M \otimes N)$ with $(F_\lambda(N))(i) = \oplus_{\pi(s)=i} N(s)$. Now consider the action of an arrow $\alpha : i \to j$ in Q. Let $\tilde{\alpha} : s \to t$ be an arrow in $\tilde{Q}$ with $\pi(s) = i$, $\pi(\tilde{\alpha}) = \alpha$ and hence $\pi(t) = j$. Then $\alpha(b_s \otimes N) = (b_t\tilde{\alpha}) \otimes N = b_t \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}N) = b_t \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}e_s N) = b_t \otimes (\tilde{\alpha}N(s)) = b_t \otimes N(\tilde{\alpha})(N(s))$ and this is just the action of α on the space $(F_\lambda(N))(i)$. □

Theorem 3. (covering criterion) *Let $A = kQ/I$ be a wild algebra and $\pi : (Q', I') \to (Q, I)$ a wild concealed Galois covering of quivers with relations with torsion-free Galois group. Then $r_A \leq 10b$.*

Proof. Let $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{I})$ be a finite factor quiver of (Q', I') such that $k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$ is a minimal wild concealed algebra. By Lemma 7, there is a finitely generated $k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$-$k\langle x, y\rangle$-bimodule M_1 which is free of rank at most b over $k\langle x, y\rangle$

such that the functor $M_1 \otimes_{k\langle x,y\rangle} -$ from mod$k\langle x,y\rangle$ to (mod$k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I})_s$ preserves indecomposability and isomorphism classes. By Lemma 8, there is a finitely generated kQ/I-$k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}$-bimodule M_2 which is free of rank $|\tilde{Q}_0|$ over kQ/I such that on mod$(\tilde{Q},\tilde{I})_s$ the pushdown functor $F_\lambda \cong M_2 \otimes_{k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}} -$ preserves indecomposability and isomorphism classes. Consider the composition $M_2 \otimes_{k\tilde{Q}/\tilde{I}} M_1 \otimes_{k\langle x,y\rangle} -$, we have $r_A \leq \text{rank}(M_2 \otimes M_1) \leq |\tilde{Q}_0| \cdot b \leq 10b$. □

According to Theorem 2 and 3, we reformulate the Wild-Rank Conjecture as follows:

Wild-Rank Conjecture. *Let A be a d-dimensional (unnecessarily basic) wild algebra. Then $r_A \leq 10bd$.*

Basic-Wild-Rank Conjecture. *Let A be a d-dimensional basic wild algebra. Then $r_A \leq 10b$.*

Clearly, Basic-Wild-Rank Conjecture ⇒ Wild-Rank Conjecture ⇒ Tame-Open Conjecture.

5. Applications of the covering criterion

How to support the Basic-Wild-Rank Conjecture? For concrete algebras, our covering criterion is very effective. Indeed, for a concrete basic wild algebra A given by quiver with relations (Q,I), we can find a minimal wild factor algebra B of A. Usually either B is itself a minimal wild concealed algebra or there is an algebra $C \cong B$ such that C admits a wild concealed Galois covering with torsion-free Galois group. Thus we can apply the covering criterion to the algebra C.

By the covering criterion, we know the Basic-Wild-Rank Conjecture holds for all well-known wild algebras such as wild local algebras, wild two-point algebras, wild radical square zero algebras, wild finite p-group algebras, wild three-point algebras whose quiver is system quiver (cf. [30, 17, 15, 16, 26]). This implies that all three conjectures are much reliable.

Certainly one can list many propositions analogous to the following one.

Proposition. *Let A be a d-dimensional wild local algebra (resp. wild two-point algebra, wild radical square zero algebra). Then $r_A \leq 10b$.*

Proof. Up to duality and isomorphism, A has a minimal wild factor algebra B appearing in the list of [30; p.283] (resp. [17; Table W], [15; p.98] or [16; p.290]). Check case by case we know that either B is itself a minimal wild concealed algebra or there is an algebra $C \cong B$ such that C admits a wild concealed Galois covering with torsion-free Galois group. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Otto Kerner for his explanations of some results in the representation theory of wild tilted algebras.

References

[1] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, Rings and categories of modules, GTM 13, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[2] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S.O. SmalØ, Representation theory of artin algebras, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

[3] K. Bongartz, A criterion for finite representation type, Math. Ann. 269 (1984), 1–12.

[4] K. Bongartz and P. Gabriel, Covering spaces in representation-theory, Invent. Math. 65 (1982), 331–378.

[5] P.M. Cohn, Free rings and their relations, Second edition, Academic Press, 1985.

[6] W. Crawley-Boevey, On tame algebras and Bocs, Proc. London Math. Soc. 56 (1988), 451–483.

[7] W. Crawley-Boevey, Tameness of biserial algebras, Arch. Math. (Basel) 65 (1995), 399–407.

[8] P. Dowbor and A. Skowroński, On the representation type of locally bounded categories, Tsukuba J. Math. 10 (1986), 63–72.

[9] Y.A. Drozd, On tame and wild matrix problems (Russian), In: Matrix Problems, Kiev, 1977, 104–114.

[10] K. Erdmann, Blocks of tame representation type and related algebras, Lecture Notes in Math. 1428, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[11] P. Gabriel, Finite representation type is open, Lecture Notes in Math. 488, Springer-Verlag, 1976, 132–155.

[12] P. Gabriel, The universal cover of a representation-finite algebra, Lecture Notes in Math. 903, Springer-Verlag, 1980, 68–105.

[13] Ch. Geiss, On degenerations of tame and wild algebras, Arch. Math. (Basel) 64 (1995), 11–16.

[14] Ch. Geiss, Geometric methods in representation theory of finite dimensional algebras, CMS Proc. Vol. 19, 1996, 53–63.

[15] Y. Han, Strictly wild radical square zero algebras, Arch. Math. (Basel) 76(2001), 95-99.

[16] Y. Han, Controlled wild algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. 83(2001), 279-298.

[17] Y. Han, Wild two-point algebras, J. Algebra 247 (2002), 57-77.

[18] D. Happel, Deformations of five-dimensional algebras with unit, In: Ring theory, Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math. 51, Dekker, 1979, 459–494.

[19] V.G. Kac, Infinite root systems, representations of graphs and invariant theory, Invent. Math. 56 (1980), 57–92.

[20] S. Kasjan, On the problem of axiomatization of tame representation type, Fund. Math. 171 (2002), 53–67.

[21] O. Kerner, Preprojective components of wild tilted algebras, Manuscript. Math. 61 (1988), 429–445.

[22] O. Kerner, Tilting wild algebras, J. London Math. Soc. 39 (1989), 29–47.

[23] O. Kerner, Representations of wild quivers, Canad. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. 19, 1996, 65–107.

[24] H. Kraft, Geometric methods in representation theory, Lecture Notes in Math. 944, Springer-Verlag, 1981, 180–258.

[25] H. Kraft and Ch. Riedtmann, Geometry of representations of quivers, In: Representations of algebras, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 116, Cambridge Univ. Press 1985, 109–145.

[26] L.C. Li and Y.B. Zhang, Representation theory of system quiver, Sci. China (Ser. A) 46 (2003), 789–803.

[27] R. Martinez-Villa and J.A. de la Peña, The universal cover of a quiver with relations, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 30 (1983), 277–292.

[28] G. Mazzola, The algebraic and geometric classification of associative algebras of dimension five, Manuscript. Math. 27 (1979), 81–101.

[29] D. Mumford, The red book of varieties and schemes, Lecture Notes in Math. 1358, Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[30] C.M. Ringel, The representation type of local algebras, In: Representations of algebras, Lecture Notes in Math. 488, Springer-Verlag, 1975, 282–305.

[31] C.M. Ringel, Tame algebras and integeral quadratic forms, Lecture Notes in Math. 1099, Springer-Verlag, 1984.

[32] L. Unger, Lower bounds for faithful preinjective modules, Manuscript. Math. 57 (1986), 1–31.

[33] L. Unger, The concealed algebras of the minimal wild hereditary algebras, Bayreuth. Math. Schr. 31(1990), 145–154.